Feedback Analysis

0



AEF's Arihant College of Education Feedback Analysis Academic Year 2021-22

Arihant college of education has collected feedback from students, teachers, and teacher educator regarding B. Ed Curriculum (Revised on 2015)

Students Curriculum Feedback analysis



Depth of Curriculum Coverage

- 61% of students rated the depth of curriculum coverage as "excellent" indicating a substantial portion of the students found the curriculum to be comprehensive and thorough.
- 33% of students rated curriculum coverage as "good", suggesting a majority perceived the curriculum as satisfactory and with reasonable coverage.
- The remaining 6% of students who gave average rating could offer valuable insights for further refining the curriculum's depth and coverage.
- The overall positive response 94% from students implies that a significant portion of the class feels the curriculum effectively addresses the curriculum in depth and breadth.

Relevance of curriculum with actual daily life:

ofs

- 56% of students found the curriculum highly relevant to their daily lives, indicating strong alignment with real-world applications.
- 36% of students rated the curriculum as good in terms of relevance, suggesting a majority still perceived its connection to daily life.
- 8% of students found the curriculum somewhat relevant to their daily lives, indicating room for improvement in this aspect.
- Overall, 92% of students expressed positive views about the curriculum's relevance, indicating a generally favourable connection between classroom learning and real-life experiences.

PRINCIPAL Arthant College of Education Pune Integrates study of subject and pedagogical knowledge of student teacher



Integrates study of subject and pedagogical knowledge of student teacher

- 57% of student teachers rated excellent in integrating subject knowledge and pedagogical skills, indicating the teaching was effective and engaging.
- 34% of student teacher rated as good, showing majority believes curriculum provided good balance of subject expertise and teaching methods.
- 6% rated fair suggesting some room for improvement in integrating subject and pedagogical knowledge.
- 3% of students rated as average, indicating the need for further enhancement in this area.
- Overall, 91% of student teachers gave positive ratings which shows the effectiveness of teaching practices in connecting with subject matter.



Sufficient number of practice lessons

- 72% of students praised the availability of sufficient number of practice lessons as excellent, indicating that they found ample opportunities to develop their skills.
- 28% of students rated the availability of practice lessons as good, suggesting that a majority perceived an adequate number of practice lessons were provided.
- The overwhelmingly positive response signifies that the majority of students felt well supported through the provision of practice lessons.



PRINCIPAL Aribant College of Education Puno



Enhances soft skills, values, core elements

- The majority of students (67%) believe that the curriculum had a significant positive impact on enhancing soft skills, values and core elements.
- A significant number of students (28%) rate the curriculum as good, indicating that it does contribute to improving soft skills, although there might be some room for improvement.
- A small percentage of students (5%) find the curriculum to be average, suggesting that they may not feel a significant impact on their soft skills development.
- Overall, the student perspective highlights the importance of incorporating soft skills development into the curriculum and suggests that further enhancement in this area could be beneficial.

Promotes capabilities for inculcating national values and goals



Promotes capabilities for inculcating national values and goals

- A majority of students (61%) strongly believe that the curriculum effectively promotes capabilities for inculcating national values and goals.
- 33% of students rate the curriculum as good in this aspect, indicating that it does contribute to fostering an understanding and appreciation of national values and goals, although there might be room for further improvement.
- Only 3% of students find the curriculum to be average, suggesting that they feel there is limited emphasis on instilling national values and goals in their education.
- Similarly, another 3% of students rate the curriculum as fair, indicating that they believe there is a significant need for improvement in incorporating national values and goals into the educational framework.
- Overall, the student perspective emphasizes the importance of a curriculum that effectively promotes and instils national values and goals, but it also indicates the need for continuous improvement in this area to create a more cohesive and valuedriven educational experience.





5 Excellent #4 Good #3 Average #2 Fair #1 Poor

Develop's competencies and skills needed for becoming effective teacher

- The majority of students (68%) believe that the curriculum effectively develops the competencies and skills needed to become an effective teacher.
- A significant number of students (24%) rate the curriculum as good in this aspect, indicating that it helps them acquire the necessary abilities to be a successful teacher, though there may be some room for improvement.
- A small percentage of students (8%) find the curriculum to be average, suggesting that they feel it partially prepares them for becoming an effective teacher.
- No students rated the curriculum as fair or poor, which indicates that all students believe it is beneficial in developing the necessary competencies and skills for teaching.
- Overall, the student perspective shows that the curriculum is widely regarded as effective in equipping them with the competencies and skills needed to be successful teachers, with very few expressing any concerns.



Enhances awareness of student teacher about social realities

- 56% of student teachers believe that the curriculum effectively enhances their awareness of social realities, considering it excellent. This indicates that a significant majority of them find the curriculum highly successful in this aspect.
- A considerable number of student teachers (39%) rate the curriculum as good, suggesting that it still plays a positive role in increasing their awareness of social realities.
- Only a small percentage of student teachers (5%) find the curriculum's impact on their awareness of social realities to be average, indicating that they feel it could be more effective in this area.
- No student teachers rated the curriculum as fair or poor, suggesting that there are no significant concerns or dissutisfaction in this regard.

allege of

 Overall, the student teacher perspective highlights that the majority of them have a positive experience with the curriculum in terms of increasing their awareness of social realities.

PRINCIPAL Arihant College of Education Pune Duration of course



Duration of course

- 44% of students rated the duration of the course as excellent, indicating that they find the course length to be just right and well-suited to their learning needs.
- A majority of students (50%) rated the duration as good, suggesting that they are generally satisfied with the length of the course.
- Only a small percentage of students (3%) find the duration of the course to be average, indicating that they feel neutral about its length.
- No students rated the duration as fair or poor, which means that the course's duration is generally well-received, and there are no significant concerns about it.
- Overall, the student perspective highlights that most students are happy with the duration of the course, considering it excellent or good, while very few have any complaints about its length.

Actual implementation of curriculum at Arihant



Actual implementation of curriculum at Arihant

- 64% of students rate the actual implementation of the curriculum at Arihant as excellent, indicating that they are highly satisfied with how the curriculum is put into practice and taught.
- A significant number of students (33%) rate the actual implementation as good, suggesting that they generally find it effective and well-executed, with only minor areas for improvement.
- A small percentage of students (3%) find the actual implementation to be average, indicating that they feel it could be better in some aspects.
- No students rated the actual implementation as fair or poor, which shows that there are no significant concerns or dissatisfaction among the students regarding how the curriculum is implemented at Arihant.
- Overall, the student perspective reveals that the majority of students are extremely pleased with how the curriculum is implemented at Arihant, considering it excellent or good, with very few expressing any average opinions. There are no indications of any poor implementation experiences.



PRINCIPAL Arthant College of Education Pune



AEF's Arihant College of Education Feedback Analysis

Arihant college of education has collected feedback from students, teachers, and teacher educator regarding B. Ed Curriculum (Revised on 2015)

Feedback Regarding B.Ed.Curriculum from Stakeholders



Micro Skills

 Excellent (56%): Majority found micro skills highly effective in enhancing teaching and student learning.

 Good (42%): A significant proportion recognized the value of micro skills in improving teaching practices.

 Average (2%): Few acknowledged the utility of micro skills, but with limited impact.

 Poor (0%): No one rated micro skills as ineffective or detrimental, indicating widespread positive perception.

Overall, the data reflects a highly positive view of micro skills in teaching, with a substantial majority considering them as excellent or good. These specific teaching techniques have proven to be valuable tools for educators, leading to enhanced instructional capabilities and improved learning outcomes for students.



PRINCIPAL Arthant College of Education Pune



Practice Lessons

 Excellent (58%): Majority found practice lessons highly effective in reinforcing learning and promoting engagement.

 Good (38%): A significant proportion recognized the value of practice lessons in facilitating the learning process.

 Average (4%): Few acknowledged the usefulness of practice lessons, but with some limitations.

 Poor (0%): No one rated practice lessons as ineffective, indicating widespread positive perception.

Overall, the data highlights a predominantly positive view of practice lessons, with the majority considering them as excellent or good. This positive feedback underscores the importance of incorporating practice-based learning approaches in educational settings.

Innovative Lessons

■ 5 Excellent ■ 4 Good ■ 3 Average ■ 2 Fair ■ 1 Poor

Innovative Lessons

 Excellent (33%): A Significant proportion rated innovative lessons as effective in engaging students and enhancing learning.

 Good (58%): Majority recognized innovative lessons as valuable and beneficial teaching approaches.

 Average (9%): Some acknowledged their utility, but with moderate impact.

- Poor (0%): No negative feedback, indicates positive reception overall.

Overall, innovative lessons are well-received, with the majority considering them as good or excellent for engaging students and improving the learning experience.



PRINCIPAL Arthant College of Education Pune



Internship

 Excellent (47%): Mostly rated internship excellent, reflecting it as exceptionally positive and fulfilling opportunities. Interns gain valuable skills and hands-on experience.

 Good (44%): A significant proportion rated internship as good, Indicating it is positive and beneficial as a supportive environment. Interns acquire relevant knowledge and skills for their careers.

- Average (9%): Suggesting satisfactory experiences with some limitations.

- Poor (0%): No negative perceptions reported.

Overall, the data highlights a predominantly positive view of internships, with the majority considering them excellent or good. Internships play a crucial role in personal and professional development, providing practical skills, industry knowledge, and networking opportunities. The absence of poor ratings underscores their value in preparing individuals for their future careers and as valuable learning experiences.

Depth and Usefulness



Depth and Usefulness

 Excellent (27%): A notable percentage of respondents rated the depth and usefulness of the content as excellent. This indicates that a significant portion of individuals found the material to be highly comprehensive and informative.

 Good (51%): The majority of participants considered the depth and usefulness of the content as good. This suggests that a substantial number of people found the material to be valuable and beneficial, offering a satisfactory level of depth and relevance to their requirements.

 Average (22%): A notable percentage of individuals rated the content as average in terms of depth and usefulness. This implies that some respondents found the material to be moderately informative but may have desired more in-depth or relevant information.

- Poor (0%): Importantly, no one rated the content as poor.

Overall, the data highlights a generally positive view of the content's depth and usefulness, with the majority considering it good. However, there is room for improvement, as a notable portion of respondents rated the content as average.





Depth and Implementation





Depth and Implementation

 Excellent (22%): A significant percentage of respondents rated the depth and implementation of the content as excellent. This indicates that the individuals found the material to be highly comprehensive and well-executed in practice. The content likely provided in-depth insights and was effectively put into action.

 Good (62%): The majority of participants considered the depth and implementation of the content as good. This suggests that a large proportion of people found the material to be valuable and well-applied, offering satisfactory depth and practicality.

 Average (11%): A notable percentage of individuals rated the content as average in terms of depth and implementation. This implies that some respondents found the material to be moderately informative but may have desired more in-depth insights or a more effective application.

Fair (5%): A small percentage of respondents rated the content as fair. This suggests
that a few individuals found the material somewhat lacking in depth or faced
challenges in successfully implementing the concepts.

- Poor (0%): Notably, no one rated the content as poor.

Overall, the data reflects a predominantly positive view of the content's depth and implementation, with the majority considering it good. However, there is room for improvement, as a notable portion of respondents rated the content as average or fair.

Practical and Projects

Excellent (33%): A significant percentage of respondents considered it highly
effective and valuable hands-on learning experiences.

 Good (56%): the majority of participants considered it as good, indicating that practical and projects were beneficial in providing practical knowledge and application of concepts.

 Average (7%):%): A small percentage of respondents found it moderately helpful, but some desired more engagement or depth.

 Fair (2%): A few individuals found limitations or challenges in execution or relevance of these activities.

- Poor (2%): some respondents perceived it as ineffective or not valuable to learning.

Overall, the data indicates a generally positive view of practical's and projects, with the majority considering them as good or excellent. While there is room for improvement, the overall feedback suggests that practical and projects play a crucial role in enhancing the learning experience and application of knowledge.



PRINCIPAL Arihant College of Education Pune



ICT Skills

 Excellent (38%): A significant percentage of respondents rated their ICT skills as excellent. This indicates that a substantial number of individuals gain information and communication technology (ICT) abilities.

 Good (51%): The majority of participants considered their ICT skills as good. This suggests that a large proportion of people have developed satisfactory competency in using various ICT tools and applications.

 Average (11%): A smaller percentage of individuals rated their ICT skills as average. This implies that some respondents have basic or moderate proficiency in ICT but may not be as confident or skilled.

- Poor (0%): Importantly, no one rated their ICT skills as poor.

Overall, the data reflects a positive view of ICT skills, with the majority considering them good or excellent. The presence of excellent and good ratings highlights the prevalence of well-developed ICT competencies among respondents. However, there is still room for improvement, as a portion of individuals rated their skills as average. To enhance overall ICT proficiency, further training and support may be beneficial for those seeking to upgrade their skills.

Co-curricular Activities



Co-Curricular Activities

 Excellent (49%): A significant percentage of respondents rated the co-curricular activities as excellent. This indicates that a substantial number of individuals found these activities to be highly valuable, enriching, and well-organized, providing meaningful experiences beyond the regular academic curriculum.

 Good (40%): The majority of participants considered the co-curricular activities as good. This suggests that a large proportion of people found these activities to be beneficial and enjoyable, contributing positively to their overall learning and personal development.

 Average (11%): A smaller percentage of individuals rated the co-curricular activities as average. This implies that some respondents perceived these activities as moderately impactful, but they may have desired more diverse or enriching experiences.

- Poor (0%): Notably, no one rated the co-curricular activities as poor.

Overall, the data highlights a positive view of co-curricular activities, with the majority considering them excellent or good. These activities play a crucial role in providing students with opportunities to explore different interests, develop skills, and enhance social interactions. The absence of poor ratings indicates that the majority of participants found these activities to be valuable and meaningful, contributing positively to their holistic development within the educational setting.



PRINCIPAL Arihant College of Education Pune

Social Service And Health And Yoga



0

Social Service and Health And Yoga

 Excellent (49%): A significant percentage of respondents rated social service, health, and yoga initiatives as excellent. This indicates that a substantial number of individuals found these activities to be highly valuable and impactful in promoting well-being and community engagement.

 Good (40%): The majority of participants considered social service, health, and yoga initiatives as good. This suggests that a large proportion of people found these activities to be beneficial and positive in promoting physical and mental well-being.

 Average (11%): A smaller percentage of individuals rated these initiatives as average. This implies that some respondents perceived these activities as moderately effective but may have desired more diverse or in-depth experiences.

- Poor (0%): Notably, no one rated these initiatives as poor.

Overall, the data highlights a positive view of social service, health, and yoga activities, with the majority considering them excellent or good. These initiatives play a crucial role in promoting holistic well-being, fostering a sense of empathy and responsibility towards others, and strengthening community bonds.



PRINCIPAL Arihant College of Education Pune

	AF	AEF'S /	Vrihan	It Coll	Arihant College of Education	Educ	ation				
	Analy	sis of	feedb	ack re	Analysis of feedback regarding curriculum	ng cur	riculu	H			
		Aci	cademic		year -2018	-2019					
SR.NO	Name of student	I	7	e	4	5	9	7	8	6	10
-	Meera Gupta	5	4	4	5	5	5	5	5	4	5
2	Alysha Nilgiriwala	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
3	Danish Ashfaqueahmad Patel	5	5	5	5	S	5	5	5	5	15
4	Anita jadeja	5	5	5	5	S	5	5	5	5	5
5	Tasniiem Shariyarr	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
9	Allan Singbal	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	5
~	Rohini Vikas Susgohar	4	4	5	4	5	5	5	5	4	4
8	Aaditi Ann Lawrence Francis	5	3	4	5	4	4	4		5	4
0	Mayur Chauhan	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	S
10	Reshma Khan	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
=	Roshni Nichani	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	S
12	Reema Punjabi	5	5	5	5	5	s	5	5	s	S
13	Mellita Lobo	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	6	4
4	Pravin Rebelo	4	4	4	4	S	S	s	4	4	4
15	RAJADURAISELVAN S	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
16	Sujana Sreeram	4	4	4	5	4	4	4	4	4	P

0

0

PRINCIPAL Arthant College of Education Pune

B

12	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	L			-	-	ion			_				
Shobhana.S	Lubaina Khandwala	Priti Gorde	Poonam Singh	Sumita kejriwal	Jini Nair	Swathi Dagupatti	Nikita Gupta	Madhu Priya	Swati ratnesh Ghevrikar	Jyoti Kiran Kangralkar		5 Excellent	4 Good	3 Average	2 Fair	1 Poor	Total students	5 Excellent	4 Good	3 Average	2 Fair	1 Poor	
5	5	5	4	5	5	4	4	4	4	5		14	13	0	0	0	27	51.85	48.15				Ì
5	5	5	4	5	5	4	5	4	4	5		13	13	-	0	0		50	50				
4	5	5	4	5	5	4	4	4	4	5		12	15	0	0	0		44.44	55.56				
5	5	5	4	5	5	4	4	4	4	5		16	11	0	0	0		59.259	40.741				
4	5	5	4	5	5	4	4	4	4	5		14	13	0	0	0		51.85					
4	5	5	5	5	5	4	4	4	4	5		15	12	0	0	0		55.556	44.444		T	T	
5	S	5	5	4	5	4	4	5	4	5		15	12	0	0	0		55.55	44.44				
s	5	5	~	4		4		4	4	4		13	12	2	0	0		48 148	44 444	7 4074	Linter		
4	5	5	4	4		4	4	4	4	5		10	16	-	0	0		37 037	59.259				
S	5					4	4	. 4		5		16	=	0	0	0		50 2503	40 7A07	int int			

C

Jucation

PRINCIPAL Arihant College of Education Pune



C

1.Depth of B.Ed Curriculum Coverage

Jo cation + 2

· nue

2. Relevance o the B.Ed Curriculum with actual daily routine/issues in school

3.Integrates study of subject & pedagogical knowledge of student teacher.

4. Sufficient number of practice lessons

5. Enhances soft skills, values, core elements

6. Promotes capabilities for inculcating national values and goals.

7. Develops competencies & skills needed for becoming effective teacher

8. Enhances awareness of student teacher about social realities.

9.Duration of course

PRINCIPAL Arihant College of Education Pune

10.Actual implementation of curriculum at Arihant

AEF'S Arihant College of Education Analysis of feedback regarding curriculum

C

0

20
0
-2020
6
=
2019
-
year
lemic
Ð
Acade
V

SL'INO.	Name of student	-	"		4	w	9	r	•	•	
1	Neha Malik	"		4				-	•	~	9
0				-		ŧ	4	4	3	4	4
4 -	S 1 -	2	2	5	5	S	5	5	5	4	5
2	Manasi prasad Hinge	s	4	4	s	\$	s	5	5	5	5
4	Sangeeta Bhatia	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	
S	Shilpa Kandhari	4	4	4	4	4	4	4			-
9	Vaishnavi Telgu	5	5	v	4	~			-	-	+
2			P					-	2	4	0
~	Gavatri Dewal			,	-	+ .	2	4	s	4	4
0	IBMCO INDEAD	Ŧ .	2	+	~	4	4	5	9	4	4
-	CIOTY PILLA	4	4	4	5	5	5	5	S	5	s
21	Anjali David	4	4	4	s	s	2	5	5	4	s
=	Barnali Bhaduri	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	Y
12	Suman Bansal	5	S	5	5	5		4			-
13	Gayatri Mahesh Jagtap	5	s	5	5	4			• v	2	-
14	Khadija Afaq Kardekar	4	4	~						,	-
15	Silni Biswas	*					-		+	Ŧ	2
16	Towners 1.1 1		,	-	2	~	2	0	2	4	4
01	ratema photwawala	2	2	2	2	5	5	5	5	5	s
11	Somdatta Roy	5	4	s	5	5	5	~	5	~	"
18	Kajal Lade	5	s	s	5	s	5	5		4	
19	Vanessa Fernandes	5	5	4	~	5	5				
20	Tahera Dahodwala	4	6	4	4	~				2	
21	MANASI JOSHI	5	s	4		4	4	-	-	+ 4	+
22	Bansode Pallavi Samson	5	5	~			~				-
23	Tasneem Mithaiwala	5	~	4	4	V				~	-
24	Tejasvi Hitesh Patel	5	5	5					+ ~		4
25	Dhanashree Satrute	5	v			-					



PRINCIPAL Arihant College of Education Pune

1	Simran Mirwani	4	m	5	5	4	4	5	5	4	
	Farha Kalyankar	5	s	S	5	5	5	5	5	5	
	Shikha Bala Singh	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	
	Melissa Soares	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	
	Trishala Mainkar	5	4	4	5	5					

C

0

h.

5 Excellent	19	14	14	22	17	16	19	18	13	at
4 Good	11	12	16	7	12	12	Ħ	10	16	1
3 Average	0	4	0	+	-	2	0	2	-	0
2 Fair	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1 Poor	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total students	30									
5 Excellent	63.33	46.67	46.67	73.3333	56.67	53.333	63.3333	09	43.333	60
4 Good	36.67	40	53.33	23.3333	40	40	36.6667	33.333	53.333	4
3 Average		13.33		3.33333	3.333	6.6667		3.1579	3.3333	
2 Fair										
1 Poor										





PRINCIPAL Arthant College of Education Pune

AEF'S Arihant College of Education Analysis of feedback regarding curriculum Academic vear -2020 -2021

0

¢

Sr.No.	Name of student	1	7	3	4	\$	9	7	8	6
+	Nikita Kisan Kharat	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
2	PRANTOSH DEBBARMA	5	S	4	5	5	5	5		Y
e	Sr. Flavia Fernandes	5	4	4	5	4	S	4	4	4
4	Dnyanal Kothari	5	5	4	5	5	S	5	4	4
9	Mukund Soni	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	
9	Naleen Hemlatha	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
7	Jhuna Rai	4	4	3	4	S	4	4	4	4
8	Marie Kisku	4	4	5	4	5	5	5	S	S
6	Sheetal G Thikekar	5	5	5	5	S	S	5	S	2
6 10	Pooja Ajit Patil	5	5	5	5	S	5	5	S	5
100	Jyoti	5	4	5	5	5	S	5	5	S
. 12	Sriya Gambhir	5	5	5	5	S	5	s	5	S
13	GUNJAN MANDESA	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
14	Manimekalai	4	4	4	5	4	4	4	4	5
15	Shilpa Kulkarni	4	4	5	5	4	4	4	4	4
16	Sushila Kumari	4	5	5	5	S	S	5	4	4
17	Dibakar Debbarma	5	5	S	5	5	s	s	5	S
18	Anaida Samuel	5	5	5	5	5	5	s	5	5
19	Alwyn Castelino	5	5	5	5	s	5	s	S	5
20	Rita Mothirai Harry	5	~	~	~					ŀ

PRINCIPAL Arthant College of Educatio Pune

Arti Pradhan	Divija Injewad	Reema Kulkarni		5 Excellent	4 Good	3 Average	2 Fair	1 Poor	Total students	5 Excellent	4 Good	3 Average	2 Fair	1 Poor
u	p	ni												
5	s	5	Total :25	17	80	0	0	0	25	68	32	0	0	0
4	5	5		15	10	0	0	0		60	40	0	0	0
5	5	5		17	7	+	0	0		68	28	4	0	0
4	5	5		19	9	0	0	0		76	24	0	0	0
4	5	\$		11	80	•	0	0		68	32	0	0	0
4	4	s		16	80	-	0	0		19	32	4	0	0
5	4	5		17	80	0	0	0		68	32	0	0	0
5	5	4		14	11	0	0	0		56	44	0	0	0
3	5	5		14	σ	-	-	0	,	99	36	4	4	0
5	5	5		17	-	•	0	•		88	33	0	0	0
	4 4 5 5 3	4 4 5 5 3 5 4 4 5 5 3	4 4 4 5 4 5 5 5 4 5 5 5 4 5 5	4 4 5 5 5 5 4 5 5 5 3 5 5 5 5 5 5	4 4 5 5 5 3 5 4 4 5 5 3 5 4 4 5 5 5 7 16 17 16 17 14	4 4 5 5 3 5 4 4 5 5 3 5 5 5 5 4 5 5 5 5 5 4 5 6 17 16 17 14 14 8 8 8 11 9	4 4 5 5 5 3 5 4 4 5 5 3 5 4 4 5 5 5 5 5 5 4 5 5 6 17 16 17 14 14 8 8 8 11 9 0 1 0 0 1	4 4 5 5 3 5 4 4 5 5 3 5 5 5 5 5 3 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 4 4 5 6 17 16 17 14 14 8 8 11 9 1 0 1 0 0 1	4 4 5 5 3 5 4 4 5 5 3 5 5 5 5 5 3 5 5 5 5 5 5 6 17 16 17 14 14 8 8 8 11 9 0 0 0 0 1	4 4 5 5 3 5 4 4 5 5 3 5 5 5 5 5 3 5 5 5 5 5 5 7 16 17 14 14 8 8 11 9 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 1	4 4 5 5 5 3 5 4 4 5 5 3 5 5 5 5 5 5 7 16 17 14 14 8 8 11 9 0 1 0 1 9 68 64 68 56 56	4 4 5 5 3 5 4 4 5 5 3 5 5 5 5 5 3 17 16 17 14 14 17 16 17 14 14 17 10 0 1 9 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 1 132 32 32 32 32	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$



Reaction of the second Oliete o

PRINCIPAL Arihant College of Educat Pune

-	lum		
AEF'S Arihant College of Education	curricu	122	
of Ed	rding (Academic year -2021 -2022	1
llege	egal	r -2(3
t Co	ack r	yea	1
rihan	eedba	lemic	- 30
IN S'	s of f	Acad	
AEF	Analysis of feedback regarding curriculun	-	21

C

0

ł

Sr.No.	Name of student	-	7	3	4	5	9	1	8	6	10
-	Komal Dilip vidhate	5	5	5	5	5	5	S	5	5	5
2	Rashida Haider Kothari	5	5	5	5	5	s	5	5	5	S
6	Priyanka Prakash Saptiskar	5	4	5	5	S	5	5	5	4	S
4	Mingyao horam	4	4	4	5	4	4	4	4	4	S
9	Rajni kumari	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
8	Anusha Ghosh	4	4	4	4	4	4	5	5	5	4
7	Arva Poonawala	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
8	Jyoti kamane	5	4	4	5	4	4	4	5	4	S
6	Krysanne Martis	3	2	2	4	3	2	3	3	m	2
10	Gurpreet kaur	5	5	5	3	5	5	5	5	5	S
11	Preeti	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
12	Trushna Parmar	5	5	5	S	5	S	5	5	5	S
13	Sohini Nandigam	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
14	Tejashri Swamy	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	S
15	Fizza Fakhruddin Zakki	5	4	4	5	5	5	5	5	4	4
16	Deepa Vishwakarma	5	5	5	S	5	5	5	4	4	5
17	M.Kotteeswari	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	4
18	Ayushi Parekh	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	5
19	Hira Rahul Khunakari	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	5
20	Raksha Pandey	5	3	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	S
21	Purnima Dhulap	5	4	4	5	S	5	\$	5	4	5



PRINCIPAL Arthant College of Education Pune

22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36											
Chiripchi M Momin	Vasudha Yadav		Pressy Varghese	Shilpi Seth	Anandita Anand	Simran Rodrigues	Zainab kachwala	Zainab Kamal	Shreya sharma	Divyanshi Joshi	Aishwarya Dilip Ingawale	Shweta Roy	Vanathi Satish Mudaliar	Amruta Tiwari	5 Excellent	4 Good	3 Average	2 Fair	1 Poor	Total students	5 Excellent	4 Good	3 Average	2 Fair	1 10.00
5	5	4	5	4	5	5	5	4	Э	4	5	5	5	5	22	12	2	0	0	36	61.111	33.333	5.5556		
5	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	3	2	4	5	5	5	5	20	13	-	2	0		55.56	36.11	2.778	5,556	
S	5	4	5	5	4	5	5	4	3	4	S	5	5	5	20	12	+	2	0		55.556	33.333	2.7778		
5	5	5	5	4	4	5	5	2	4	5	5	2	5	5	26	10	0	0	0		72.22	27.78			
5	5	. 5	5	5	5	5	5	4	4	5	5	5	5	5	24	10	2	0	0		66.67	27.78	5.556		
2	5	4	5	5	4	5	4	4	m	5	5	5	5	5	22	12	-	1	0		61.111	33.333	2.7778	2.7778	
5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	3	4	5	5	5	5	25	6	3	0	0		69.44	25	8.333		
S	5	4	5	4	4	4	5	4	3	4	S	s	5	5	20	14	2	0	0		55.556	38.889	5.5556		
4	5	4	5	3	5	5	5	4	4	4	5	5	\$	S	16	18	2	0	0		44,444	50	5,5556		
4	5	4	S	4	5	5	5	5	4	4	5	5	5	5	23	12		0	0		63.889	33.333	2.7778		

0



-PRINCIPAL Arihant College of Education Pune



6.155





Analysis Of Infracture Feedback 2021-22

ŝ

Analysis Of Infr. Guructure Feedback 2021-22

(

Haider Kothari	Fizza Fakhruddin Zakki	Zainab Kamal	Shilpi Seth	Hira	Anandita Anand	Sohini Nandigam	Purnima Manohar Dhulap	Manimekalai yadav	Anusha Ghosh
4	S	m	m	4	s	m	4	4	4
ŝ	4	4	æ	5	s	4	m	4	4
4	4	2	2	4	4	-1	m	m	4
4	4	4	m	4	s	e	4	m	4
4	4	4	2	4	4	m	e	m	4
ŝ	ŝ	4	m	2	4	m	m	4	4
4	S	4	3	5	æ	m	m	4	4
ŝ	'n	4	m	5	s	m	m	m	4
4	4	4	m	s	4	ю	ю	Э	4
4	5	4	m	ŝ	4	2	3	m	4
ŝ	s	4	m	5	4	3	9	m	4
4	ŝ	4	m	5	m	E	Э	m	4
r.	5	4	m	5	4	2	4	5	4
									-

callon. 3 10

PRINCIPAL Arthant College of Education Pune

1

Building		Classroom Playgroun facilities d	Library	Advanced computer lab	Cleanlines s, Water, Washroo	Laboratori es (Science)	Seminar	Multipurpo		Girls common	First aid	Parking	Security
4	4	4	9	4		Inninnal		Se Hall	y room	room	facility	system	svsterm
4	2	3	5		-	+	4	4	4	4	2	4	1
5	5	4	4			4	0	4	9	9	4	4	+
-00	2		,	-	0	0	2	20	5	4	V		+
-					4	4	4	4	4	6			+
. u	-	-	-	-	1		-	-					4
		4	9	4	9	*	5	4	-	-	-	-	_
+	4	4	4	4	4	4						2	
-	-	+	+	4					4	4	4	4	
4	5	2	9	5		-	-	-	4	1		+	
4	4	4	4			0.	4	0	4	5	5	9	
4	4	3	4	+ 0	*	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	
4	2	4			*	2	3	3	3	3	0	4	
5	4				0	4	5	4	4	10	4	u	1
				4	5	5	\$	4	9	5	4		1
		4 0	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4			1
- and	2		2	2	3	3	8	6	6	0		-	
-	0	4	4	4	5	50	5	5				2	
-	2	4	\$	4	4		4		, ,		0	5	
-	4	F	3	6	6			+ 0	4	4	3	4	
137	en	0	4	6		2 0	2	m	2	9	3	2	L
200 4	4 0	~			, ,		2	6	3	3	3	4	
4	4	4		,		4	9	3	3	3	3	5	
80	84	KR KR	10			4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
		8	10	9	82	"	80	11	78	76	11	83	83
5	00	-	4	6		0	T		-				
11	0		2	10		2	_	4	4	S	5	8	Ĩ
0	c		2	4	2	0	7	10	10	6	0	0	1
0	40	1 0	2	2	3	2	S	5	5	r		-	q
	> (7	0	1	0	0	0	0		-	~	-	1
	2	e.	2	-	2	c	c		1			-	0

PRINCIPAL Arihant College of Education Pune

					Advanced	s, Water,	Laborator			room,	Girls			
	and the second		Classroom Playgroun	1 thereas	computer	Washroo	ferioaral	Seminar	Multipurp Psycholog	Psycholog	common	First aid facility	Parking	Security
	Buinning	TRCINICIS	D	LIUTALY	1410		Innunel	11011	10011 2020	incost &		fauxo.		
Very Satisfied	5	8	1	6	2	7	£	7	4	4	5	5	80	60
Satisfied	11	6	11	10	12	00	10	7	10	10	7	8	6	80
Neutral		2	4	3	5	m	2	5	5	5	7	9	1	m
Dissatisfie	0	0	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0
Very Dissatisifi ed	2	2	e	2	1	2	2	2	2	1	2	2	2	2

C

C

Infrastructure Feeddback



Analysis Of Infra-ructure Feedback 2021-22

Criteria For Evaluation

÷

- Building -
- Classroom facilities (Banches , Black/White Board, Projector) N
 - Playground m
- Library ń
- Advanced computer lab ທີ
- Cleanliness, Water, Washroom facilities ú
- Laboratories (Biology , Physics , Chemistry) N
- Seminar Hall 00
- Multipurpose Hall đ
- 10. Music room , Psychology room
 - 11. Girls common room
 - 12. First aid facility
- 13. Parking system
- 14. Security system

sihani

in the second

Suggestions

Good Good College of

A place where one can grow Arranged computer facility Nothing as such none

Improve laboratory and computer rooms Over all college campus is good. It's a good campus.



Action taken on Infrastructure facilities

1

According to student feedback received on infrastructure facilities, We are informing the Management to add girls common room facilities, improve the already existing first aid facilities, inform the staff and students about the parking system discipline. We are also adding library equipment like musical instruments, shuttles, etc. for the benefit of students. Also, We have informed the Maintenance staff to ensure cleanliness of the classrooms and washrooms.



PRINCIPAL Arthant College of Education Pune

Ac





. (

0



C

. Č



PRINCIPAL Arthant College of Education Pune

Course Teacher Feedback Analysis

Analysis of feedback of course of FY

t

For core subjects 101,103,104,105, no need to change in syllabus. Appropriate number of units and sufficient number of credits. For 102 core subject slight changes should be suggested by teacher add NPE 2020 recommendation and features and Thinkers of 21 st century should be added in unit 4.

The practical portion of the courses 102,103 104, and 105 is sufficient. For prasuggest second number practical should be remove to avoid repetition. For c 107, the number of methods are perceived to be more than required and need appropriately. But the content and the practical work of the syllabl need not b

Analysis of COULSE TEAL

For Internals 108 Microteaching and 109 Models of Teaching, no need to change in syllabus. Appropriate number of skills and lessons are prescribed.

Internship and Practice Lesson 110, there is no need to change.

As regards 111, change in the weightage of marks and change in credits is desired so that ICT and CCS have a single credit each. The Social Service is proposed to be removed from 111 and attached to 112. In that case 112 shall have SS and HP having a credit each.

Analysis of feedback of course of SY

For core subjects 201 to 203, no need to change in syllabus. Appropriate number of units and sufficient number of credits. The practical portion of the courses is sufficient.

Some electives in 204 are felt excessive therefore the number of electives need to be reduced.

For courses 205, the number of methods are perceived to be more than required and need to be sized appropriately. But the content and the practical work of the syllabi need not be changed.

Practice Lesson 206, no need to change. For Internship 207, instead of 2 lessons for each method there should be 3 lessons for each method. Reading and Reflection 208, no need to change. Four activities should be taken instead of two in 209.No changes are proposed for 210, 211 and 212.

ACTION TAKEN FROM FEEDBACK OF COURSE TEACHER'S

The qualitative analysis of feedback from the course teacher was discussed among the teachers and it is decided to forward the main point and feedback to the Dean of Interdisciplinary Faculty of SPPU at the time of change in syllabus of B.ED course.



PRINCIPAL Arihant College of Education Pune (3173 12 58 PM

Teacher's Evaluation-

Teacher's Evaluation--

Give your feedback honestly without inhibition, the feedback will be confidential.

* Indicates require question

- 1. Name of student *
- 2. Period of B.Ed. course *
- 3. Year of passing

Teacher's Evaluation--

Give your feedback honestly without inhibition, the feedback will be confidential.

- Knowledge of teaching subject, language, skill and teaching interest. * Mark only one oval.
 - 05
 - 04
 - 3
 - 02
 - 1



PRINCIPAL Arthant College of Education Pune

Exit Suz

Feec

 Effective teaching, preparation of subject, effective use of various reaching methods, use of technology.

Mark only one oval.

6. 3. Punctuality, sincerity and discipline. *

Mark only one oval.

- 5 4 3
- 2
- $\bigcirc 1$
- Accuracy and objectivity in evaluation of students, students problem guidance accordingly.

Mark only one oval.

- 05 04
- -
- **3**
- 2
- \bigcirc 1





8. 5 Personality, enthusiasm, knowledge of other subjects. *

Mark only one oval.

- 5 4 3 2 1
- 9. 6. Attitude toward teaching profession.*

Mark only one oval.

- 05
- 04
- 3
- 02
- $\bigcirc 1$
- Mastery on skills other than teaching, work related to education and social work.

Mark only one oval.

- 05 04
- ◯3
- 2
- 01



PRINCIPAL Arihant College of Education Pune
HERCLIFLE F F M MODULE

11. 8. Behaviour with principal, lecturers and non-teaching staff.

Mark only one oval.



 9. Respect of students personality, behaviour with students, ability to understand the students problem.

Mark only one oval.

- 5
- 04
- 3
- <u>2</u>
- $\bigcirc 1$
- 13. 10. Character and awareness and moral values. *

Mark only one oval.

- 5
- 04
- 3
- 2
- $\bigcirc 1$
- 14. Qualitative remarks *



PRINCIPAL Arthant College of Education Pune

		10. Character and awarenes s and moral values.	5	4	5	4	47	40	4	9	4	9	5	5	5	14		-17	9	4	9
	-22	9. Respect of students personality, tetraviour with students, ability to understand the students problem.	4	4	ŝ	43	ta	10	9	2	4	9	4	5	9	119	27	24	4D	10	10
	21	8. Behaviour with principal, lecturers and non- teaching staff.	5	.4	5	10	5	5	5	4	4	5	5	4	5	1	10	43	9	45	5
Educatio	alvi	7. Mastery on skills other than teaching, work related to education and social work.	2	4	5	5	\$	5	4	5	4	5	4	6.3	9	9	5	49	2	5	2
lege of E	Asst. Prof. Anuradha Salvi	6 Attitude toward teaching profession.	5	4	5	5	5	5	4	43	4	5	\$	4	5	47	145	147	5	5	\$2
ant Col	Prof. Al	5 Persona lity, enthusia sm, knowled ge of other subjects	40	T	ю	40	5	47	147	10	4	5	5	3	121	4	5	5	5	40	40
AEF's Arihant College of Education	Asst.	 Accuracy and objectivity in evaluation of students students problem guidance accordingly. 	5	4	22	5	2	2	40	20	4	22	5	4	9	v	-0	4	9	10	10
AE		3. Punctualit y, and discipline	9	4	10	40	5	5	4	10	4	40	5	4	140	4	10	5	5	9	10
		 Effective teaching, preparation of subject, effective use of use of use of use of teaching methods, use of technology. 	20	4	20	10	0	2	-10	10	4	4	Ú	4	40	4	10	-	4	40	40
-		1. Knowledge of teaching subject, language, skill and teaching interest.	9	4	5	10	10	5	2	9	4	9	20	2	5	41	10	9	9	36	w



Analysi DEXIL Sc PRINCIPAL Arthunt College of Education Pune

ľ

1.3

C

0

95.42857	Avg							
95	97.8571429	95.71429	95	95.714286	96	95.714286	95	93.571429
133	137	134	133	134	133	134	133	131
4	43	4	5	5	50	4	4	4
42	5	5	5	5	5	40	9	5
4	ŝ	40	5	4	4	9	50	4
5	5	5	5	S	5	Q,	5	5
42	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
5	40	40	9	5	5	Q	5	10
5	5	\$	5	2	5	20	ιΩ.	40
5	5	5	5	4D	5	3	5	10
4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4

Socation, 0 and in the

PRINCIPAL Arthant College of Education Pune

(

	Qualitative remarks
	Practical and a good guide
	Great teacher and enthusiastic person
in the second of	Nothing
	nowledge and confidence. Using her experience she mase cur clear. And also the way she taught us in organising activities during the course is commendatile.
	Good
Ahuradha mar	n is very accurate in her works and h axes sure her work is completed on time
	Great teacher
	Very understanding and helpful teacher.
	Helping and guide as well as
	Problem solver and enthusiastic
	Anuradha Ma'am is best at her work
	Excellent ma'am, very good counsellor
I like the nature	of her, vety soft and kind. Whenever we stuck in any utilicity she is ready to help anytime.
	Provides guidance
She is my favorit	e prof. she is the most friendly and knowledgeable prof preser in our college. They she support is amazing D
	Good
She is ver	y enthusiastic Asst professor, her behaviour is too good.
	A very enthusiastic and empathetic teacher
	Good
	Good
	Very supportive
Ma'am has lo	t of patience and was really helpful throught out the program
Very approa	chable and always a positive attitude towards every student
Great adminis	trator , Motivator and disciplinarian and proficient in subjects
	A talented person with leadership quality.
	Good
	Excellent
	Good

0,7

0



7 PRINCIPAL Arthant College of Education Pune

1 Manufacture	-		Ass	Asst. Prof. Rameshwari Shende	leshwari Sh	ende	T C	04-90	
 Monomeoge of teaching subject, language, skill and teaching interest. 	 L Effective leaching, preparation of subject, effective use of various teaching methods, use of technology. 	3. Punctuality, sincerity and discipline.	 Accuracy and objectivity in evaluation of students, students problem guidance accordingly. 	5 Personality, enthusiasm, knowledge of other subjects.	 Attitude toward teaching profession, 	 Mastery on skills other than teaching, work related to education and social work. 	 Behaviour with principal, lecturers and non-teaching staff. 	 Respect of students personality, behaviour with students, ability to understand the students problem 	10. Character and awareness and moral values.
2	2	9	22	5	9	9	5	10	4
4	4	4	4	4	4	4	12	4	4
43	2	5	9	5	5	5	42	-	- 47
9	9	40	ŝ	50	5	9	. 9	ŝ	- 40
5	5	5	40	40	5	w	5	2	140
-	2	5	9	2	2	5	5	5	4
01 500	4	0	9	4	4	63	3	0	4
Lion	5	40	5	5	10	5	5	9	D
13	4	4	4	3	9	4	4	4	4
)	5	in.	5	5	c,	5	5	2	5
un i	4	so.	4	42	5	62	5	4	-
4	4	10	2	10	5	6	4	4	40
ę	5	NO.	43	9	40	5	9	5	-
6 .	-	4	43	69	4	4	4	*	
4	ŝ	-1	4	5	4	12	10	10	10
9	5	-0-	9	5	-0	40	43	4D	vo

PRINCIPAL Arihant College of Education Punn

1

		4	5					9	5			0	5			-	130 128	92.85714286 91.42857143	the second
0.		D	ŝ	-	4		0	n.	2	ĸ		D	\$	w		-	133	95 92.8	
		F	2	9	4		2 4	n	5	2	. u	7 1	0	ser.	4		128	91.42857143	
	4		0	s	5	u.			Ð	ъ	4		4	9	4	440	305	94.28571429	
	4		n	5	4	40	5		0	22	2			ŝ	4	130	_	92.85714286	
	5	u	>	5	4	5	9	ų	9	ġ.	5	4		40	4	131		93.57142857	
	5	40		ò	5	4	20	4	,	5	5	4		10	4	132		84.2857143	
	5	5	se.		4	4	9	4		D	9	4	-	0	4	128	91.42857143		
	Ð	ŝ	9		4	4	ω <u>α</u> .	50	4	D	S.	2	4	3	4	131	93.57142857		



PRINCIPAL Arthant College of Education Pune

Qualitative remarks

Good mastery over subject

Great teacher and has a glowing personality.

Nothing

She is the example of patience and hard work. Always available whenever we needed her. She has great knowledge of subject as well. I am so happy that I have studied in guidance of her.

Good

The teachers in the college are very supportive in all the ways.

Great work

One of the best teacher

Positive and helpful nature

She is a great guide and mentor, also she understands the student.

Rameshwari Ma'am has all the teaching skill that is required for teaching.

Excellent maam

She was my group incharge. She was very particular. Everything that we didn't understood she solve it very nicely. Everytime whenever the improvement is necessary that time she explains it very nicely. And because of her I think i have improved alot.

Good teacher

Teaching staff is very friendly and trained too.

Good

She is a very good asst professor.

Role model of how a teacher should be

Good

Good

Teachers are very supportive

Guided us throught out the program and taught us how to be a good teacher

Great knowledge about the art of teaching and handling everyone within the micro group

Wrapped with knowledge, skill and diligence to impart

One of the Very supportive and understanding teacher.

Exellent Excellent

Good



PRINCIPAL Arihant College of Education Pune

		10. Character and awarenes s and moral values.	43	4	5	e	5	5	4	4	57	5	5	1	5	3	5	5	4	9		4	9	9
	1-22	9 Respect of students y. behaviour with students, ability to	5	4	22	1	5	5	4	9	5	5	4	+-	5	9	9	9	e1	40	iD	4	4	9
	21	8. Behaviour with principal, lecturers and non- teaching staff.	5	4	5	5	1 0	5	4	5	3	5	4	1	5	1	5	10	40	9	990	4	5	9
ducation	se	7. Mastery on skills other than teaching, work related to education and social work.	2	4	5	4	5	5	4	5	3	4	4	2	2	2	9	5	0	4	5	4	4	5
ge of E	ana Bor	6. Attitude toward teaching profession	5	4	5	4	5	5	4	2	6	2	9	2	5	2	5	5	4	4	5	4	5	9
AEF's Arihant College of Education	. Prof. Nayana Borse	5 Personality, enthusiasm knowledge of other subjects.	5	4	5	2	5	5	4	5	3	4	4	1	9	3	5	5	5	4	42	4	9	9
F's Ariha	Asst.	4. Accuracy and objectivity in evaluation of students, students	5	4	5	1	2	5	4	w	3	4	3		9	2	9	9	4	4	40	4	4	9
AE		3. Punctuality, sincerity and discipline.	22	4	5	2	\$	5	4	9	3	2	4	1	9	2	2	2	4	4	2	4	4	5
		2. Effective teaching, preparation of subject, effective use of various teaching methods,	5	4	2	t	20	2	4	117	4	-0	e	+	10	2	5	5	3	4	-02	4	4	22
		1. Knowledg e of teaching subject, language, skill and teaching tinterest	5	4	5	10	5	10	4	2	0	4	-9	4	5 °	2	9	10	4	4	9	4	4	52



PRINCIPAL Arthant College of Education Puint

C

4	5	5	4	40	4	123	87.85714	85
4	5	9	4	\$	2	119	85	Avg
4	5	2	4	5	4	125	89,28571	
2	5	5	4	5	5	118	84.28571	
4	5	5	4	5	4	123	87,85714	
2	5	9	4	5	5	117	83.571429	
4	5	\$	4	9	9	116	82.857143	
9	2	5	4	10	4	117	83.571429	
6	9	4	4	5	4	114	81.428571	
3	2	9	4	9	4	118	84.28571	

0



(

PRINCIPAL Arthant College of Education Pune

	Qualitative remarks
-	Knowledgeable and excellent guide
	Great teacher and sincere person
	Nothing
acade	a person she is a good teacher but if we talk about mics then I have faced a lot of problems. I have noticed is little biased towards her group students. This is my personal experience.
	Good
	Very flexible and understanding.
	Very sweet
Underst	and the students problem as a mentor she supported to when ever necessary.
-	Helping nature
	Understanding and helpful
	nayana mam is good at her work.
Time pa	Iss always with discussion in class. No prior preparation
	na ma'am was very cooperative. She understands the n and try to solve it Her behaviour towards students and teaching is very nice.
-	None
	She is very friendly and professional too.
	Good
	She is a good asst professor
	Very approachable teacher
	Good
	Good
-	Good nature
	Would guide the students quiet critically
and the second	
Diligent	in work .patient with situations and friendly gesture with others gives a grasping impression of hers.
	Understanding and frendly.
	Good
	Excellent



PRINCIPAL Arthant College of Education Fune

	21-22	9. Respect of students personality, behaviour with students, ability to ability to stand moral the students problem.	5 5	4 4		5					4 V		4 5							5 5		4
u		8. Behaviour with principal, lecturers and non- teaching staff.	9	4	so	9	2	5	4	5	-4	5	5	4	5	4	\$	5	40	-10	47	4
ducatio	gude	7. Mastery on skills other than teaching, work related to education and social work.	10	4	so	4	2	5	4	\$	3	4	5	4	10	4	4	9	9	4	5	4
sge of E	anjali Nir	6. Atthude toward teaching profession	5	4	5	5	43	5	4	9	4	5	9	9	9	9	4	9	w	2	9	4
AEF's Arihant College of Education	Asst. Prof. Geetanjali Nirgude	5 Personality, enthusiasm, knowledge of other subjects.	5	4	2	4	ŝ	9	4	Ð	63	4	4	5	9	4	4	5	9	9	4	4
EF's Arih	Asst. F	4. Accuracy and objectivity in evaluation of students, students problem guidance accordingly	9	4	in.	9	S	ŝ	4	'n	3	2	up.	5	S.	ŝ	4	3	4	22	-0	4
AI		3. Punctuali ty, sincerity and discipline	9	4	2	5	5	5	4	5	0	5	9	9	5	9	4	5	4	5	5	4
		2. Effective teaching, preparatio n of subject, effective use of various teaching methods, use of technology	5	4	5	10	5	9	4	2	- 4	6	5	0	2	5	4	2	e	10	2	4
		1. Knowledg e of teaching subject, language, skill and teaching teaching interest.	5	4	20	4	5	9	4	5	4	4	8	0	2	10	4	5	V	5	10	4



PRINCIPAL Arthant College of Education Pune

4	4	2 4	2 14	5 4		***	93 5714	92.7143
-	i ic			e a	-	1 007	56	Ava
4	4	-		o u	2 42	422	98	
4	5	9	4		4	404	88.57143	
4	10	20	4	-	4	130	94.28571	
4	2	9	4	9	4	128	91.428571	
3	2	9	4	10	40	130	92.857143	
4	9	\$	5	5	3	130	92.8571	
4	9	5	9	2	4		90.714286	
4	2	5	2	10	4	130	92.85714	

ŝ



\$

PRINCIPAL Arthant College of Education Pune

	Qualitative remarks
Good	mastery over the subject
Great	teacher and mentor.
Good	teacher who focuses to grow everytime.
Caim	and cool teacher. I like your teaching
Explai	ns the syllabus and her subject in an very detailed manner.
Very s	weet
Teach	ing methods is good
Helpin	g nature +
Great	guide, understanding and helpful
she is	helpful
Under	stands student needs. She teaches clearly with interest.
Her te	aching was very nice. She have a kind and soft nature.
Sweet	est teacher
She lo workin Good	ve to teach and we all students can see that she is very hard g.
She is	very good, enthusiastic and disciplined assistant professor.
1.0000	ncere and classes were interactive and informative
Good	
Good r	nature
/ery to	the point
	and knowledgeable person with supporting hand to all tes to be dedicated through her work.
Enthus Excelle	iastic and understanding person. nt
Aore the	an excellent she was really perfect
1000	

ŝ



PRINCIPAL Arihant College of Education Pune

		10. Character and awarenes s and moral values.	-0	-2	5	5	-0	9	4	3	4	4	9	0	-0	m	4	9	"	.0	
	21-22	 9. Respect of students personality, behaviour with students, ability to understand the students problem 	\$	4	4	9	5	5	4	\$	4	4	4	5	9	-9	9	40	5	50	4
u	2	8. Behaviour with principal, lecturers and non- teaching staff,	-0	4	50	5	9	5	4	5	4	5	5	2	5	3	4	5	5	60	4
ducatio	rni	7. Mastery on skills other than than teaching, work related to educatio n and social work,	5	4	10	5	-10	5	*	5	3	10	4	67	5	173	4	10	5	4	i i
ge of E	ha Kulka	6. Attitude toward teaching profession	5	4	2	\$	5	5	4	5	3	4	4	-	127	13	40	5	(7)	2	u
ant Colle	Asst. Prof. Varsha Kulkarni	5 Personality enthusias m, knowledge of other subjects.	10	4	5	3	9	50	4	9	3	4	3	2	u)	173	5	9	3	4	4
ALL S ANNANT CONEGE OF Education	Asst.	 Accuracy and objectivity in evaluation of students, students problem guidance accordingly. 	5	4	2	4	9	ŝ	4	40	4	4	0	2	5	0	5	10	(7)	9	u
A		3. Punctuality , sincerity and discipline.	2	4	10	4	9	-0	4	5	9	4	4	3	2	5	9	9	2	49	10
		 Effective teaching, preparation of subject, effective use of various teaching methods, use of teaching teaching teaching 	5	4	2	4	9	9	4	9	2	3	4	2	-	(9)	2	9	3	4	5
		1. Knowledge of teaching subject, language, skill and teaching interest.	5	4	9	0	9	9	4	2	0	4	E.	2	10	0	1	-	5	4	10

-

0

0



PRINCIPAL Arihant College of Education Pune

	4	5	10	2	υγ I	4	4	5	4	127	90	87 85714
	4	5	5	5	50	5	4	5	4	129	92.142857	Ava
	4	2	5	4	5	5	4	5	4	127	90.71429	
C	4	s	20	4	5	4	4	10	4	122	87.1429	
	4	5	5	4	5	5	4	5	4	122	87.14286	
	4	5	5	4	5	5	4	5	4	119	85	
	4	2	5	4	9	5	4	9	4	122	87,1428571	
ç	4	9	9	10	9	5	4	5	4	123	87.857143 87.1428571	
	4	5	5	5	5	5	4	50	4	121	86.428571	
	4	5	9	2	9	4	4	9	4	118	84.285714 86.428571	



PRINCIPAL Arihant College of Education Pune

-	Qualitative remarks
Know	vledgeable and good guide
Grea	t teacher and punctual
Noti	ing
comp unde most	
Good	
Very	understanding and cooperative.
Very	intelligent
Helpf	ul and always smiling face.
Helpi	ng nature
Good	
varsh	a mam is very helpful.
Good	ma'am. Interested in share market.
She is	s punctual and desciplined she guides the students ever they have need.
A goo	d listener
	s a very confident prof.
Good	
Good	
	approachable and reliable
Good	
	riendly
Very h	ielpful
-	
great r	bly personality with never to back down attitude and motivator, with great inspirational skills and edge and a friend to all
iendi Good	y guide and very supporting person.
Excelle	ant
Good	214k
and the second s	

0



PRINCIPAL Arihant College of Education Pune

Arihant Education Foundation's Arihant College of Education (A.C.E.) (B.Ed.), Pune -1. Notice for Staff. Student

Day- Monday Date- 25.7.2.2. This is to inform all the staff that the feedback of the teachers evaluation by student is given to each one. Do the welf-evaluation and fill the fuedback ap suffiction. Submit the evaluation sheet and feedback sheet to the department on 29.7.22

alinha

A.7.22

Principal Dr. Sujata Adamathe

Sr. No.		or, Sujata Adamathe
Sr. NQ.	Lecturer	Signature
1.	Mrs. Anuradha Salvi	RX -
2.	Mrs. Rameshwari Shende	- Xo
3.	Mrs. Chaitali Sinha	
4.	Mrs. Varsha Kulkarni	
5.		
6.		



PRINCIPAL Arihant College of Education Pune

(Faculty is requested to see the evaluation sheet and fill the form accordingly) Feedback Form ar Teacher's Evaluation by Stduents A.P.'s Arihant College of Education, Pune

Name of the faculty: An U rodha Academic Year : 2021 - 22

Salur.

Parameters Marks Comments Based on Student's Evaluation	Knowledge of teaching subject, 25.74 Mo Commend-	Effective teaching. Preparation of 33.57 Satisfied to the preparation of the subject. effective use of various to the second structure and the second	includity. Sincerity, discipline 95 Salt speed	Accuracy & objectivity in g_5 , γ No. Commend- evaluation of strudents	Percent vanturation traviery 95.7 Color fred
Comparison with Previous Year	1	1	1	1	Į
Improvemental Plan	-	9 will use manual Metucals & Anke autimity		1	

PRINCIPAL Adhani Coliver off ducation

Arihant College of Pune

	A North Constraints	Character & awareness & moral values	Respect of students personality, behaviour with students, ability to understand the students problem	Behaviour with principal, lecturers & non-teaching staff	Mastery on skills other than teaching, work realeated to education & social work	Attitude towards teaching profession	Parameters
C	to un	20	9. T. G	£.56	20	95.71	Mar
	a different met	Saturiel.	Satis fiel	Satisfied	Satisfied :	Sature Lind	Comments Based on Student's Evaluation
¢.	and the for		1	. F		1	Vious Year
	1 set	College	Ed Stion - June	t	PRING Arthant Colleg Pu	CIPAL e of Education	Improvencences

(Faculty is requested to see the evaluation sheet and fill the form accordingly) AEF's Arihant College of Eduction, Pune Formar Teacher's Evaluation by Stduents

Mande Name of the faculty: Rawles Luccel

1

Academic Year :

A Della

÷

f'a cameters	Marks	Comments Based on Student's Feedories	Comparison with	Treeses
Knowledge of teaching subject, language, skill & teaching interest	93.57	As per students freedback I am	FUNDES Your	I will be on a second and the second
Effective tenching, Preparation of subject, effective use of various trashing moth-rels	91 42	Sultisfied.		Kuiculedge Luill ruse more various freedie
Punctuality.Sincerity, discipline 94-28	94.28	scel-is fied		Tealuit continues
Accuracy & objectivity in evalution of strudents	93.57	Lad-isfied.		I will definite the to have choice
Personalay-entinesiman knowledg Q 2. & J e of other subjects	92.81	Satistical.		Knowdedze of Knowdedze of

\$

· untrese

Munch

PRINCIPAL Arithant College of Education Pune

Name of the faculty: Kames Lucio & Shande Parate Ivi-(Faculty is requested to see the evaluation sheet and fift the form accordingly) Feedback Form ar Teacher's Evaluation by Stduents Marks Comments Based on Student's RUITER C. Comparison with Previous Year

Effective leading, Proparation of gr 42. Academic Year : ~ 2. She and a second the second seco Punctuality, Sincerity, discipline $\left| q\dot{H} \right| 2.8$ Knowledge of teaching subject enlight, effective use of various language, skill & teaching Accuracy & objectivity in evalution of strudents e of other subjects Tendoltel Heet Interest 93.57 93.51 Latisfiel. prying muy bash to enhance Knowledge also freedback, I and Saltistice . As per students Salisfied Schisfied. Subject selected prethreals to plat 1 CULL OF CHINE will try to enti Valious Headwin in evenation p try to Keep objec to personal 3 will were most Kinousledge of other Subject. will Pears in Improvemental Plan PRINC Adhant Covene ·une

ATT Arihant College of Education, Punc

(Faculty is requested to see the evaluation sheet and fill the form accordingly) Feedback Form at Teacher's Evaluation by Stduents

4

Name of the faculty: NGYQNQ, BOSSC 2.1-2.9 Academic Year :

PRINCIPAL Anthactic of sam of Education

Plane

Parameters	Marks	Countents Based on Students, Technology	Comparison with	for the factor and a set of the
Knowledge of teaching subject, fanguage, skill & teaching interest	34+23	As Pet students feedback. I am trying to Prepane bopic fully	TECOLOGIS VEH	I will kead more subject welated back
Effective teaching, Preparation of subject, effective use of various teaching methods	81.42	Satisfied	• 1	to Canance Knowled will use more vanieus tea ling
Punctunlity.Sincerity, discipline	83.57	Satistied.	1	Contra contration for the contration of the cont
Accuracy & objectivity in evaluation of strudents	82.85	. Satistied		I all continue definitely pry to Kei objectivity in evaluatio
Pentermaty and assignment and as a subjects	83-57	Satisfied		will tet te enhance. Knowledge of other Subjects.

616

40

Se un



Name of the faculty: <u>NQVQ</u> Academic Vear : <u>21-2.7</u>	Nayana Bozse	27 Bozse		INCIPA Vege of E Pune
Parameters	Marriss	Comments Based on Student's	Comparison with	PR
		Dout	Previous Year	Improvemental Plun
Snowledge of teaching subject,	94.00	ns pet Students		I Will Read more
interest	01 00	feedback. I am trying	1	Subject upplated back
		to prepune topic fully		& see video on Lopic
¹⁰ Propagation of a second secon	81.42	Salling and		will use more /
September of the first		the office the	1	varis 15 tendin (3)
			-	methods to make a
Punctuality.Sincerity, discipline	83-57	Satistied	1	will continue to
				followed
Accuracy N objectivity in evaluation of strudents	82.85	Satisfied		I waith continue definitely the to kei
				objectivity in evaluation process.
Sparse and a statistic statistic and a statistic statistic statistics of the stati	83.57	Satisfied	~	will tey to enhance

Nor's Arihant College of Education, Pune

(Faculty is requested to see the evaluation sheet and fill the form accordingly) Feedback Form ar Teacher's Evaluation by Stduents A.F's Arihant College of Educt ion, or e

4

Name of the faculty: 73-97. Navsha Kulkarni. Academic Year : 2.02.1. 2.9.

PRINCIPAL Adam Col recide discrition

Parameters	Marks	Comments Based on Student's Evaluation	Comparison with Previous Veur	Improvemental Plan
Knowledge of teaching subject, language, skill & teaching interest	84,28	As per students declarch I will south by to increase my knowledge of	ł	I will bry to used dif books and to gain the deer troowledge 8 the subject
Effective teaching, Preparation of subject, effective use of various teaching methods	86.42	I will think more on this.	•	As per need of the shu will use many teaching method for effective leat
and motion of the second	87.85	Satisfical.	1	tour more accueeded.
Accuracy & objectivity in evalution of strudents	41.18	Satrifred.	ι	I will the walkale the shident - by observing them promptly in evaluation process.
Personnlity,enthusiasm,knowledg c of other subjects	50	I will surely gain the knowledge. Jus other isubjects	١	I will try to charge d' presitive adtitude and Be more subject tenowledge



Refficution on Qualitative Remark: H As per deedback Character & awareness & moral teachy- learning process. he aviage with students, ability " - - : of students personality locturers & non-teaching staff - indetstand the students the bing, work restanted to Musicity on skills other than will surely improve. in Attitude towards teaching Behaviour with principal, concation or social work profession S.D.S.Samiritz, problem values ÷ 03 20.71 20.71 87.14 Crite 22.14 141-13 the myself and will do hadwork for effective students , (2021-22) Comments Bused on Student's Substice Satisfied. Sairtice Evs luntion C Previous Year Comparison with 1 ever they need (est l) Will understand the d improve in m. profession, do H our mo try to help ther Improvemental Plan Suce Poor A PRINCIPAL Adham Collage of Emmano Pune Ditte

ŝ,

(Faculty is requested to see the evaluation sheet and fill the form accordingly) Feedback Form ar Teacher's Evaluation by Stduents

G. Suilso Name of the faculty: Chaidali 0.9 Academic Year: 2.02.4

90.00

AND DOWN OF THE

	1	• •		3	47.
Improvemental Plan	- will Thy to maintain Particiany of my white windy and any my wedne	-learning about new traction that the section of the will be the section of the sector that the descrete the secrete the secre	often get time delay due to the hor hogical issue, will try to chark them hogers hand.	Have third to be objective will nee to mainbally	Have to maintain my and end officer
Comparison with Previous Year	1	• 1		1	1
Comments Based on Student's Evaluation	setiopied	Sotified	Satisfied	Gerad .	Setisfied
Marks	97-14	9.1.85	47.14.	32.	58.19
Parameters	Knowledge of teaching subject, language, skill & teaching interest	Effective teaching, Preparation of subject, effective use of various teaching methods	Commentity, Sincerity, discipline	Accuracy & objectivity in cvalution of strudents	Personality, entitusiasm, knowledg e of other subjects
JAN N	al ason na		Unite Contraction	(in the second s	PRI

Arihant College of Education

	Parameters	Marks	Comments Based on Student's Evaluation	Comparison with Previous Year	Improvemental Plan
	Attitude towards teaching profession	24.96	Satisfied	1	Have to maintain my Passion for teaching
St College	Mastery on skills other than teaching, work realcated to education & social work	41.19	buifitaz	I	will keep up my words. other than tealling as
unit.	Behaviour with principal, lectureis & non-teaching staff	95	Grand .		Have to work outling need to be flictible or morado at time.
	Respect of students personality, behavious with students, ability to understand the students problem	92.14	strictures related to punction related to dedication rade the opinione resurs .	1	Have to less struct and give more time for whenleine
A	Character & awareness & moral	94.28.	satisfied	1	I am awave of my more through and have the first and have that
CIPAL ge of Education	Richterion on Qualitative Remark: 3 my westle in Sherrer - Kuandridgedel - Serre - Kuandridgedel - Serre - Will make peritine	1 1 9	The sublituture surroute sh through my were culture over vicinital that I can even vicinital that I can that it muture my in of myself as tracture.	k shown that my pass alkone . shakents find n I can inspire them to my best qualifies and	a summer shows that my partien to made work culture . students find me dedicated an ad that I can inclure them to good in life method my test qualities and be even
		0		- Gent	

(Faculty is requested to see the evaluation sheet and fill the form accordingly) AEF' Arihant College of Education Pune Feedback Form ar Teacher's Evaluation of Stduents Feedback Form ar (Faculty is requested to see th Name of the faculty: Gee tavi ali Nrvgude

1

i.	
3	
11	
111	
Ye	
nic	
det	
VCI	
1	

Parameters	2	Marks	Comments Based on Student's Evaluation	Comparison with Previous Year	Improvemental Plan
Knowledge of teaching subject, language, skill & teaching interest	ing subject, teaching	এ. এ		1	9 will read more astrono
Effective teaching, Preparation of subject, effective use of various teaching methods	reparation of c of various hods	1.06	As yes releidents feedback, 3 will uncitede in novative	•	3 while by to the sections in the section of the se
Punctuality, Sincerity, discipline	y, discipline	9.8	satisfied .	1	Hotel
Acouracy & objectivity in evalution of strudents	e, ctivity in udents	92.8	eatisfied	1	
Personality,enthusiasm,knowledg e of other subjects	ım,knowledg ijects	かまし	hatis fied	1	

PRINCIPAL Arihant College of Education Puna

(Faculty is requested to see the evaluation sheet and fill the form accordingly) AEF's Wihant College of Education Unce Feedback Form ar Teacher's Evaluation by Stduents

Name of the faculty: Geetand all Nirgude

	I	Satis fied	91.4	Personality,enthusiasm,knowledg ,, e of other subjects
al Education		satisfied	19. 29. 29.	Accuracy & objectivity in evalution of strudents
e d'		Satisfied .	q2.8	Punctuality,Sincerity, discipline
I will try to enhance uny teaching methods to make the e teaching leasuing most	1	As per shidents feedback, 9 will unclude innovative tracting inethods	90.7	Effective teaching, Preparation of subject, effective use of various teaching methods
I will read more autore	1		4 9	Knowledge of teaching subject, language, skill & teaching interest
Improvemental Plan	Comparison with Previous Year	Comments Based on Student's Evaluation	Marks	Parameters

CIPAL ge of Education

	cter ness al Avg.	93.07	95.42	96	85	87.85	92.71
	10. Character and awareness and moral values.	91.42	95	94.28	87.85	90.71	93.57
	9. Respect of students personality, behaviour with students, ability to understand the students problem.	92.85	97.85	92.14	85	92.14	95
uo su	8. Behaviour with principal, lecturers and non- tenching staff.	95	95.71	95.	89.28	90.71	95
AEF's Arihant College of Education Consolidated evaluation of teachers	7. Mastery on skills other thun teaching, work related to education and social work.	91.42	95	97.14	84.28	87.14	88.57
	6. Attitude toward teaching profession.	94.28	95.71	96.42	87.85	87.14	94.28
int Colle	5 Personality, enthusiasm, knowledge of other subjects.	92.85	92	97.85	83.57	85	91.42
AEF's Arihi Consolidate	 Accuracy and objectivity in evaluation of students, problem guidance accordingly. 	93.57	95.7	95	82.85	87.14	92.85
	3. Punctual sincerity discipli	94.28	95	97.14	83.57	87.85	92.85
	2. Effective teaching, preparation of subject, effective use of various teaching methods, use of technology.	91.43	93.57	97,85	81.42	86.42	90.71
	L. Knowledg e of teaching subject, language, skill and teaching interest.	93.57	95.74	97.14	84.2	84.28	92.85
	Name of the faculty-	Rameshwari	Anuradha	Chaitali	Nayana	Varsha	Contracted

C

PRINCIPAL Arihant College of Education Pune

Action taken on feedback

4

The teachers are informed about the evaluation by students. They have gone through the quantitative and qualitative feedback.

Faculties are asked to reflect back on the evaluation and suggest the improvement they want to do on themselves on a feedback forms.

The improvement will be implemented in the coming academic year.

Action take



PRINCIPAL

Arlhant College of Education Pune